# **Conjectures on vertex-minors**

Rose McCarty

Department of Combinatorics and Optimization

September 29th, 2021

Joint work with Jim Geelen and Paul Wollan.





What are the "dense" analogs?



What are the "dense" analogs?



minors  $\rightarrow$  vertex-minors/pivot-minors

Well-Quasi-Ordering Theorem (Robertson & Seymour 2004) Every infinite set of graphs contains one that is isomorphic to a minor of another.

Kuratowski's Theorem





forbidden minors

Well-Quasi-Ordering Conjecture (Oum 2017)

*Every infinite set of graphs contains one that is isomorphic to a* **vertex-minor** *of another.* 

Bouchet's Theorem



circle graphs



forbidden vertex-minors

Well-Quasi-Ordering Conjecture (Oum 2017?)

Every infinite set of graphs contains one that is isomorphic to a **pivot-minor** of another.

Geelen and Oum's Theorem



Well-Quasi-Ordering Conjecture (Oum 2017?)

Every infinite set of graphs contains one that is isomorphic to a **pivot-minor** of another.



# planar graphs $\longrightarrow$ circle graphs

Structure Theorem (Robertson & Seymour 2003)

For any proper minor-closed class  $\mathcal{F}$ , each  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  "decomposes" into parts that "almost embed" in a surface of bounded genus.



Figure by Felix Reidl

For any proper vertex-minor-closed class  $\mathcal{F}$ , each  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ "decomposes" into bounded-order perturbations of circle graphs.



For any proper vertex-minor-closed class  $\mathcal{F}$ , each  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ "decomposes" into bounded-order perturbations of circle graphs.



bounded genus ~> perturbed circle graphs

For any proper vertex-minor-closed class  $\mathcal{F}$ , each  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ "decomposes" into bounded-order perturbations of circle graphs.



Ongoing project with Jim Geelen & Paul Wollan. Some also joint with O-joung Kwon & Sang-il Oum.

For any proper vertex-minor-closed class  $\mathcal{F}$ , each  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ "decomposes" into bounded-order perturbations of circle graphs.

Progress:



For any proper vertex-minor-closed class  $\mathcal{F}$ , each  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ "decomposes" into bounded-order perturbations of circle graphs.

Progress:



For any proper vertex-minor-closed class  $\mathcal{F}$ , each  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ "decomposes" into bounded-order perturbations of circle graphs.

Progress:



Flat Wall Theorem ~> Local Structure Theorem

Grid Theorem (Robertson & Seymour 1986)

For any planar graph H, every graph with tree-width  $\geq f(H)$  has a minor isomorphic to H.



H minor of  $G \implies \operatorname{tw}(H) \leq \operatorname{tw}(G)$ .

Theorem (Geelen, Kwon, McCarty, & Wollan 2020) For any circle graph H, every graph with rank-width  $\geq f(H)$  has a vertex-minor isomorphic to H.

comparability grid:



H vertex-minor of  $G \implies \operatorname{rw}(H) \leq \operatorname{rw}(G)$ .

G

- 1) vertex deletion and
- 2) local complementation



G

- 1) vertex deletion and
- 2) local complementation



- 1) vertex deletion and
- 2) local complementation



- 1) vertex deletion and
- 2) local complementation



- 1) vertex deletion and
- 2) local complementation (replace the induced subgraph on the neighborhood of v by its complement).



- 1) vertex deletion and
- 2) local complementation (replace the induced subgraph on the neighborhood of v by its complement).



- 1) vertex deletion and
- 2) local complementation (replace the induced subgraph on the neighborhood of v by its complement).



- $1)\,$  vertex deletion and
- 2) local complementation (replace the induced subgraph on the neighborhood of v by its complement).



- $1)\,$  vertex deletion and
- 2) local complementation (replace the induced subgraph on the neighborhood of v by its complement).











Locally equivalent graphs have the same cut-rank function.



separators  $\longrightarrow$  cut-rank

Locally equivalent graphs have the same cut-rank function.

For  $X \subseteq V(G)$ , **cut-rank**(X) is the rank over the binary field of...



(Oum-Seymour, Bouchet, Cunningham, Oum)

Locally equivalent graphs have the same cut-rank function.

For  $X \subseteq V(G)$ , **cut-rank**(X) is the rank over the binary field of...



(Oum-Seymour, Bouchet, Cunningham, Oum)





#### chord diagram

#### circle graph G





#### chord diagram

#### circle graph G





#### circle graph G \* v

chord diagram





#### circle graph G \* v \* u

chord diagram





#### chord diagram

#### circle graph G \* v \* u





#### chord diagram

circle graph G \* v \* u - v











#### G forbids H-vertex-minor $\longrightarrow$ p-perturbations of G forbid H'-vertex-minor

(where H' depends on p and H; uses lemma of Bouchet).

For any proper vertex-minor-closed class  $\mathcal{F}$ , each  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ "decomposes" into bounded-order perturbations of circle graphs.



For any proper vertex-minor-closed class  $\mathcal{F}$ , each  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ "decomposes" into bounded-order perturbations of circle graphs.

If a property holds for the following classes, then it is a reasonable conjecture about  $\mathcal{F}$ .

- classes of bounded clique-width/rank-width, and
- bounded-order perturbations of circle graphs.

For any proper vertex-minor-closed class  $\mathcal{F}$ , each  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ "decomposes" into bounded-order perturbations of circle graphs.

If a property holds for the following classes, then it is a reasonable conjecture about  $\mathcal{F}$ .

- classes of bounded clique-width/rank-width, and
- bounded-order perturbations of circle graphs.

For any proper vertex-minor-closed class  $\mathcal{F}$ , each  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ "decomposes" into bounded-order perturbations of circle graphs.

If a property holds for the following classes, then it is a reasonable conjecture about  $\mathcal{F}$ .

- classes of bounded clique-width/rank-width, and
- bounded-order **perturbations** of **circle graphs**.

For any proper vertex-minor-closed class  $\mathcal{F}$ , each  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ "decomposes" into bounded-order perturbations of circle graphs.

For any proper vertex-minor-closed class  $\mathcal{F}$ , each  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ "decomposes" into bounded-order perturbations of circle graphs.

**Conjectures**: (for any fixed  $\mathcal{F}$ )

• Can determine if  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  in polynomial time. (Oum)

For any proper vertex-minor-closed class  $\mathcal{F}$ , each  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ "decomposes" into bounded-order perturbations of circle graphs.

- Can determine if  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  in polynomial time. (Oum)
- Can determine the clique number ω(G) of G ∈ F in polynomial time (Geelen).

For any proper vertex-minor-closed class  $\mathcal{F}$ , each  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ "decomposes" into bounded-order perturbations of circle graphs.

- Can determine if  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  in polynomial time. (Oum)
- Can determine the clique number ω(G) of G ∈ F in polynomial time (Geelen).
- The chromatic number of G ∈ F is ≤ polynomial(ω(G)) (Davies; Esperet; Kim, Kwon, Oum, & Sivaraman).

For any proper vertex-minor-closed class  $\mathcal{F}$ , each  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ "decomposes" into bounded-order perturbations of circle graphs.

- Can determine if  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  in polynomial time. (Oum)
- Can determine the clique number ω(G) of G ∈ F in polynomial time (Geelen).
- The chromatic number of G ∈ F is ≤ polynomial(ω(G)) (Davies; Esperet; Kim, Kwon, Oum, & Sivaraman).
- Can  $n^{1-\epsilon}$ -approximate the chromatic number of  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .

For any proper vertex-minor-closed class  $\mathcal{F}$ , each  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ "decomposes" into bounded-order perturbations of circle graphs.

- Can determine if  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  in polynomial time. (Oum)
- Can determine the clique number ω(G) of G ∈ F in polynomial time (Geelen).
- The chromatic number of G ∈ F is ≤ polynomial(ω(G)) (Davies; Esperet; Kim, Kwon, Oum, & Sivaraman).
- Can  $n^{1-\epsilon}$ -approximate the chromatic number of  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- $MBQC_{\mathcal{F}}$  can be efficiently simulated classically (Geelen).

For any proper vertex-minor-closed class  $\mathcal{F}$ , each  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ "decomposes" into bounded-order perturbations of circle graphs.

- Can determine if  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  in polynomial time. (Oum)
- Can determine the clique number ω(G) of G ∈ F in polynomial time (Geelen).
- The chromatic number of G ∈ F is ≤ polynomial(ω(G)) (Davies; Esperet; Kim, Kwon, Oum, & Sivaraman).
- Can  $n^{1-\epsilon}$ -approximate the chromatic number of  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- $MBQC_{\mathcal{F}}$  can be efficiently simulated classically (Geelen).
- For any fixed permutation graph H, first-order modelchecking is FPT (wrt formula length) on H-free graphs in F.

For any proper vertex-minor-closed class  $\mathcal{F}$ , each  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ "decomposes" into bounded-order perturbations of circle graphs.

- Can determine if  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  in polynomial time. (Oum)
- Can determine the clique number ω(G) of G ∈ F in polynomial time (Geelen).
- The chromatic number of G ∈ F is ≤ polynomial(ω(G)) (Davies; Esperet; Kim, Kwon, Oum, & Sivaraman).
- Can  $n^{1-\epsilon}$ -approximate the chromatic number of  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- $MBQC_{\mathcal{F}}$  can be efficiently simulated classically (Geelen).
- For any fixed permutation graph H, first-order modelchecking is FPT (wrt formula length) on H-free graphs in F. Hliněný & Pokrývka proved for circle graphs via twin-width.

For any proper vertex-minor-closed class  $\mathcal{F}$ , each  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ "decomposes" into bounded-order perturbations of circle graphs.



#### permutation graph

For any proper vertex-minor-closed class  $\mathcal{F}$ , each  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ "decomposes" into bounded-order perturbations of circle graphs.



#### permutation graph

To **pivot** on an edge *uv*,

- 1) exchange the labels of u and v, and
- 2) complement between the three sets...



To **pivot** on an edge *uv*,

- 1) exchange the labels of u and v, and
- 2) complement between the three sets...



This is the same as G \* v \* u \* v = G \* u \* v \* u.

To **pivot** on an edge *uv*,

- 1) exchange the labels of u and v, and
- 2) complement between the three sets...

Pivot-minors are obtained by deleting vertices and pivoting.



This is the same as G \* v \* u \* v = G \* u \* v \* u.

# Consider a graph with a spanning tree T, and its fundamental graph $\mathcal{F}(T)$ . Pivoting corresponds to changing T.



#### planar graph

Consider a graph with a spanning tree T, and its fundamental graph  $\mathcal{F}(T)$ . Pivoting corresponds to changing T.





#### planar graph

## fundamental graph $\mathcal{F}(\mathsf{T})$

Consider a graph with a spanning tree T, and its **fundamental** graph  $\mathcal{F}(T)$ . Pivoting corresponds to changing T.





#### planar graph

## fundamental graph $\mathcal{F}(\mathsf{T})$



# **Pivot-minors**?

# Thank you!